Official Court Reporter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Pfannenschmidt.

MR. PFANNENSCHMIDT: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hark.

MR. HARK: Good morning, Your Honor.

MR. PFANNENSCHMIDT: Your Honor, this is the matter of the United States v. David Lucas. Mr. Lucas had previously pled guilty to five counts of bank robbery and one count of firearm used in a crime of violence captioned to four different numbers. A presentence report has been completed. At this time there have been no objections noted. Mr. Lucas is present for sentencing with his counsel Mr. Hark.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hark, is there anything you would like to present on behalf of Mr. Lucas?

MR. HARK: Judge, the presentence report is extensive and thorough. I would just point out to Your Honor that based on the consecutive nature under Count 2 of indictment 01-182-01 that with the guidelines for the other pleas of 292 months and 365 months and a consecutive term of an additional 10 years, that I would request the Court move on the side of the lower end of the guideline scale as a result of that. I understand the significant nature of the offenses, my client's conduct, my client's conduct while incarcerated and the victim impact statements are significant and very weighty not just to the Court I hope but to me as

well. My client accepts the responsibility for his acts. He did cooperate. He did plead guilty without a trial.

Although there is no credit for that due to his subsequent conduct, I would request the Court sentence him to 292 months plus the mandatory consecutive term, as opposed to the 365 months, Your Honor. I submit the defendant to the Court.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lucas, would you like to speak? You are allowed to say anything on your own behalf that you wish.

THE DEFENDANT: (Shook head back and forth.)

THE COURT: Mr. Pfannenschmidt.

MR. PFANNENSCHMIDT: I have nothing further to add, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lucas, I hoped by this point things would start to turn around a little for you. You're in a hard place obviously, but it looks like from everything I have read in the probation report you're making your life harder. I hope that you don't continue to do that. This is a long, long time. I think your lawyer is right with the 10 year Hobbs Act that I have to give you, I don't have a choice about that, and the 292 minimum, 292 months minimum sentence on the other counts, that's over 34 years. That's a long time to be throwing things and fighting with people. So hopefully you can turn it around and keep yourself safe.

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 it's

the judgment of the Court that the defendant David Arthur Lucas is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 412 months. This term consists of 292 months on each of Counts 1 and 3 in case No. 1:CR-01-182-01 and the sole count in case 1:CR-00-350-01 and 240 months on each count in cases 1:CR-01-152-01 and 1:CR-00-198-01 to be serve concurrently. On Count 2 of case 1:CR-00-182-01 the defendant is committed for a term of 120 months to run consecutive to the sentences imposed in cases 1:CR-01-152-01; 1:CR-01-182-01, Counts 1 and 3; 1:CR-00-350-01 and 1:CR-00-198-01.

The Court finds that the defendant has the ability to pay restitution but not a fine. Accordingly it is further ordered that the defendant make restitution in the total amount of \$40,384.17 to the victims in the amounts and at the addresses as set forth in the presentence report. The interest requirement is waived. The defendant shall also pay to the United States a special assessment of \$100 on each count for total assessments of \$600. Said sums shall be paid through the Clerk of Court, are due immediately and are payable during the period of incarceration with any balance to be paid within 5 years of release from custody.

On release from custody the defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of 5 years. This term consists of terms of 5 years on each of Counts 1 and 3

of cases 1:CR-01-182-01, 1:CR-00-350-01 and 3 years on cases 1:CR-01-152-01 and 1:CR-00-198-01 to be served concurrently.

Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons the defendant shall report in person to the probation office in the district to which he is released.

While on supervised release the defendant shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by the Court and with the following special conditions: In view of the defendant's economic circumstances the defendant shall pay any balance of the restitution imposed by this judgment which remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release in minimum monthly installments of no less than \$100. The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from custody and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter.

Mr. Lucas, you do have a right to appeal your conviction if you believe that your guilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or that there was some other fundamental defect in the proceedings that you did not waive by entering your guilty plea. You also have a statutory right to appeal your sentence under certain circumstances, particularly if you think the sentence I now imposed is contrary to law. With very few exceptions any notice of appeal would have to be filed within 10 days after imposition

of sentence.

If you are not able to pay the costs of appeal, you could apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis, and if you so request, the Clerk of Court would prepare and file a notice of appeal on your behalf.

The basis for the sentence is as follows: The Court adopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report. The fine is waived because of the defendant's inability to pay. The sentence is within the guideline range, that range exceeds 24 months, and the sentence is imposed for the following reasons: In consideration of the mandatory consecutive 10 year term a sentence at the low end of the range is believed sufficient to satisfy sentencing objectives.

Anything else for the record in this case, counsel? MR. PFANNENSCHMIDT: No, Your Honor.

MR. HARK: Your Honor, one thing, you indicated within 72 hours of release he must present himself to a parole office. He has two detainers pending, one in Cape May County and another one in Huntingdon County, Pennsylvania, so I don't know that he is actually going to be able to: (1) be released and (2) be able to present himself for supervised parole supervision enrollment.

THE COURT: Mr. Pfannenschmidt, when do those expire?

MR. PFANNENSCHMIDT: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Would those not expire, those detainers?

MR. PFANNENSCHMIDT: I believe they are still pending, Your Honor. They would have to act on them.

THE COURT: I mean in 34 years.

MR. PFANNENSCHMIDT: Oh, I'm not sure what the limit is, Your Honor. I think there is a possibility that they will be resolved long before.

THE COURT: I would think. Do you know?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  VOUGHT: When a person is incarerated, the Bureau of Prisons tries to clear up the matters.

MR. HARK: Judge, I'll send a letter to the courts indicating what the judgment of conviction was on these matters, and I'm sure I will receive a copy of that, a certified copy of that, that I would be able to send to them, so maybe they -- and I will contact the prosecutor's office and have them maybe administratively dismiss the matters. I attempted to do that previously, but there was --

THE COURT: They were awaiting sentencing I'm sure.

MR. HARK: Well, there was numerous matters in numerous counties, and I was able to have some of the prosecutors understand where we were going, but some county prosecutors did not want to participate.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. We'll be in

recess. MR. HARK: Thank you, Your Honor. (The proceedings concluded.) I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence of the court are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the sentencing of the within cause and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Monica L. Zamuslu Monica L. Zamiska, RPR Official Court Reporter